

Questions: Dundas Bulk Plant Bid #07-54130-9999-00

Q: Where are MW- 5, MW-6 and MW-7?

A: I apologize that these were not included on the map in the SI. MW-5 is up-gradient, while MW-6 and MW-7 are both down-gradient offsite. I have put together an 8-page packet that includes: a map showing the additional wells; a Table with soil results from MW-5 and MW-7; a table with natural attenuation indicator parameters from the MWs; and some additional commentary from an SI addendum. Please Email the project manager and indicate if you want this information faxed (include fax#) or sent through the mail.

Q: Have any historic GW elevation tables been prepared?

A: No tables are included in the file. Reference is made to groundwater being between 3 and 5 feet below ground.

Q: It appears most of the monitoring wells are submerged. Is this a concern with the department regarding GW flow direction and analytical results?

A: All the wells with the exception of MW-1 and MW-2A are screened from 3.5 to 13.5 feet. This would seem to indicate that well screens are minimally submerged at least some times during the year. Clearly it would be best if this were not the situation. Contaminant distribution also gives much information on groundwater movement. Contaminant distribution seems to support the inferred direction of groundwater flow. Analytical results will be accepted as accurate.

Q: Is the winning bid expected to include the cost of disposal of investigative waste remaining on site?

A: Yes

Q: Are the wellheads from the original investigation in a useable condition or will replacement wells be needed?

A: Assume that the wellheads are useable. If they are not, the appropriate cost-cap adjustments will be made.

Q: Does the department feel that the 0.37 ft/ft vertical gradient is accurate for the site? If so, how was this value calculated?

A: Yes. See SI report Appendix E.